

---

**AUTHORIZING APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1988 AND 1989 FOR INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF, THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM (CIARDS), AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES**

---

MAY 20 (legislative day, MAY 13), 1987.—Ordered to be printed

---

Mr. BOREN, from the Select Committee on Intelligence,  
submitted the following

**R E P O R T**

[To accompany S. 1243]

The Select Committee on Intelligence, having considered the original bill (S. 1243) authorizing appropriations for fiscal years 1988 and 1989 for intelligence activities of the U.S. Government, the Intelligence Community Staff, the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon without amendment and recommends that the bill do pass.

**PURPOSE OF THE BILL**

This bill would:

- (1) Authorize appropriations for fiscal years 1988 and 1989 for (a) intelligence activities of the United States, (b) the Intelligence Community Staff, and (c) the CIA Retirement and Disability System;
- (2) Authorize the personnel ceilings as of September 30, 1988 and September 30, 1989 for (a) the Central Intelligence Agency, (b) the Intelligence Community Staff, and (c) the other intelligence activities of the U.S. Government;
- (3) Authorize the Director of Central Intelligence to make certain personnel ceiling adjustments when necessary to the performance of important intelligence functions;
- (4) Make several legislative changes designed to enhance intelligence and counterintelligence capabilities and to promote the more effective and efficient conduct of intelligence and counterintelligence.

## OVERALL SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

(In millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1987	Fiscal year 1988 request	Committee recommenda- tion	Fiscal year 1989 request	Committee recommenda- tion
Intel activities .....					
IC staff .....	21.9	24.3	21.9	25.1	21.9
CIARDS .....	125.8	134.7	134.7	144.5	144.5

## THE CLASSIFIED SUPPLEMENT TO THE COMMITTEE REPORT

The classified nature of U.S. intelligence activities prevents the Committee from disclosing the details of its budgetary recommendations in this Report.

The Committee has prepared a classified supplement to the Report, which describes the full scope and intent of its action. The Committee intends that the classified supplement, although not available to the public, will have the full force of a Senate Report, and that the Intelligence Community will fully comply with the limitations, guidelines, directions, and recommendations contained therein.

The classified supplement to the Committee Report is available for review and by any Member of the Senate, subject to the provisions of Senate Resolution 400 of the 94th Congress.

## SCOPE OF COMMITTEE REVIEW

The Committee conducted a detailed review of the Intelligence Community's budget request for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989. This was the first year in which the Committee has required and acted upon a budget request covering more than one fiscal year. The Committee's review included:

Hearings involving some 30 hours of testimony from the principal program managers for U.S. Intelligence, including: The Acting Director of Central Intelligence, the Director and Deputy Director Intelligence Community Staff, the Director National Security Agency, the Director Defense Intelligence Agency, the Executive Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and senior officials of the Military Departments.

A hearing involving some 3 hours of testimony from the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence relative to Tactical Intelligence and Related Activities programs of the Department of Defense.

A hearing involving some 3 hours of testimony from the Director of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency and the Undersecretary of Defense for Policy covering the Intelligence Community's arms control monitoring capabilities.

Detailed examination of over 3,000 pages of budget justification material provided by national and tactical intelligence program managers and all major government security activities.

Review of written answers from various officials to several hundred questions for the record.

Numerous briefings and interviews with officials on major topics of interest.

The Committee continued to review intelligence programs based on the DCI's National Intelligence Strategy prepared in 1986 and updated in 1987. This Strategy projects the challenges and requirements that will face U.S. intelligence over the next decade, identifies the intelligence programs and activities necessary to meet those challenges, and sets forth a plan for the acquisition of needed capabilities taking into account the tight fiscal environment faced by all government activities.

#### COMMITTEE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In previous years the Committee has reported its judgment that intelligence activities must be assigned a very high priority in overall national security investment. The Committee has also stated its concern over the debilitating effect budget constraints could have on the ability of the United States to field an effective intelligence capability in the future. These judgments have not changed; indeed, the situation has worsened.

U.S. intelligence has incurred significantly increased costs as a result of the tragic loss of the Space Shuttle Challenger and two successive failures of TITAN 34D launch vehicles. These unavoidable costs are absorbing scarce resources that otherwise could have financed needed investment in new intelligence capabilities.

The Committee has carefully reviewed intelligence capabilities against the broad range of requirements faced by the United States for detailed, timely, and accurate intelligence support. The Committee is confident that the recommendations it has made for the Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 intelligence authorization, as contained in the classified supplement to this report, will deliver the type of intelligence system needed by the Nation as it approaches the 21st Century.

#### *Congressional oversight of intelligence activities*

The Committee recognizes that during the past year, as a result of the Iran-Contra affair, serious questions have been raised with respect to the effectiveness of the Congressional oversight process, particularly as it relates to oversight of covert actions. The Committee itself carried out a preliminary investigation of this matter, culminating in the issuance of a report on January 29, 1987, titled: "Preliminary Inquiry into the Sale of Arms to Iran and Possible Diversion of Funds to the Nicaraguan Resistance." The Senate voted to create a special select committee to carry out a more detailed examination of the affair and submit a report to the Senate by October 30, 1987.

In view of this continuing investigation, the Committee deferred taking any action in this area within the context of the Intelligence Authorization bill for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989. The Chairman has expressed his intention to conduct a broad review of Congressional oversight of intelligence activities at the conclusion of the new select committee's work, at which time the Committee will recommend to the Senate such changes to the statutory oversight requirements as it considers appropriate.

Notwithstanding this anticipated review of Congressional oversight, the Committee believes immediate action should be pursued to provide the Committee with its own independent audit capability of certain elements of the Intelligence Community. Currently, the budget staff of the Committee is not of sufficient size or requisite background to permit more than a few such reviews each year.

The Committee notes that the House of Representatives routinely subjects selected CIA and other Intelligence Community activities to detailed audits by the Surveys and Investigations staff of the Committee on Appropriations. The work of the Appropriations Committee in this regard has been of great benefit to the Intelligence Committees. The Senate does not have a comparable staff with the requisite security clearances dedicated to the conduct of such audits or investigations.

The Committee believes the time has come to consider strengthening its auditing capabilities to permit detailed in-depth independent review of a number of selected intelligence programs. The Committee plans to consider alternatives in this regard and make appropriate recommendations to the Committee on Rules and Administration in the near future.

#### *Security matters pertaining to the Senate*

The Committee notes that notwithstanding its recommendation to the Senate in the last Congress that an Office of Senate Security be established—a recommendation that was concurred in by the Committees on Rules and Administration, and Government Affairs—no such office has yet been established. The Committee continues to be concerned for the administration of security within the Senate as a whole, and fears that unless uniform, stringent controls are adopted and enforced, it is only a matter of time before a serious compromise of classified information occurs. The Committee strongly reiterates its concern and its recommendation that an Office of Senate Security be established to ensure the appropriate handling of classified information provided by the Executive branch and to monitor Senate staff security clearances.

In a matter related to the Committee on Armed Services, the classified annex to the conference report on the FY 1987 Department of Defense Appropriation Act directed the Executive branch to provide TEMPEST-shielded computer equipment to the Congressional Committees which review the National Foreign Intelligence Program and the DOD Tactical Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Program budgets. The Act reserved \$200,000 for DIA for this purpose, over half of which remains available for obligation.

The report specified that TEMPEST-shielded equipment be provided to the Intelligence and Appropriations Committees, but omitted the Armed Services Committees who also have responsibility for portions of the budgets mentioned above. The Committee accordingly recommends that the same computer support provided the Intelligence and Appropriations Committees should also be provided the Armed Services Committees out of the funds which have been appropriated but remain unobligated.

## SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

*Title I—Intelligence activities*

Section 101 lists the departments, agencies, and other elements of the United States Government for whose intelligence activities the Act authorizes appropriations for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989.

Section 102 makes clear that details of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for intelligence and intelligence-related activities and personnel ceilings covered under this title for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 are contained in a classified Schedule of Authorizations. The Schedule of Authorizations is incorporated into the Act by this section.

Section 103 authorizes the Director of Central Intelligence in Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 to expand the personnel ceilings applicable to the components of the Intelligence Community under Sections 102 and 202 by an amount not to exceed 2 percent of the total of the ceilings applicable under these sections. The Director may exercise this authority only when necessary to the performance of important intelligence functions or to the maintenance of a stable personnel force, and any exercise of this authority must be reported to the two Intelligence Committees of the Congress.

*Title II—Intelligence community staff*

Section 201 authorizes appropriations in the amount of \$21,900,000 for the staffing and administration of the Intelligence Community Staff for Fiscal Year 1988 and \$21,900,000 for Fiscal Year 1989. This staff supports the DCI in the execution of his responsibilities to develop, review, and approve the National Foreign Intelligence program budget, to evaluate the performance of foreign intelligence activities, and to develop issues, goals and other required guidelines for the Intelligence Community.

Section 202(a) authorizes a personnel end strength of 237 full-time staff for the Intelligence Community Staff as of September 30, 1988 and September 30, 1989; and provides that such staff may be either permanent employees or personnel detailed from other elements of the Intelligence Community.

Section 202(b) directs that the personnel employed by the Intelligence Community Staff be selected to provide appropriate representation from various elements of the Intelligence Community.

Section 202(c) requires that personnel be detailed on a reimbursable basis except for temporary situations of less than a year. In the opinion of the Committee, the authorized size of the Intelligence Community Staff is sufficient for the performance of its duties. This provision is intended to insure that its ranks are not swelled by detailees, the personnel costs for whom are not reimbursed to their present agency.

Section 203 provides that the Director of Central Intelligence shall manage the activities and personnel of the Intelligence Community Staff in accordance with the same statutory authorities under which the Central Intelligence Agency is managed. However, it is the Committee's intent that in the case of detailed personnel, the DCI's authority to discharge personnel shall only extend to discharging detailed personnel from service at the Intelligence Community Staff and not from Federal employment or military service.

*Intelligence community staff*

Fiscal year:	<i>Millions</i>
1987 program.....	\$21.9
1988 request.....	24.3
Committee recommended change.....	-2.4
Committee recommendation .....	21.9
1988 request.....	25.1
Committee recommended change.....	-3.2
Committee recommendation .....	21.9

*Title II—Central Intelligence Agency retirement and disability system*

Section 301 authorizes appropriations for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System (CIARDS) in the amount of \$134,700,000 for Fiscal Year 1988 and \$144,500,000 for Fiscal Year 1989. The Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (Public Law 88-643) authorized the establishment of CIARDS for a limited number of Agency employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to qualified beneficiaries.

The benefits structure of CIARDS is essentially the same as for the Civil Service Retirement System, with several special provisions. These special CIARDS provisions are: (a) annuities based upon a straight 2 percent of high 3-year average salary for each year of service, not exceeding 35; (b) under stipulated conditions a participant may retire at age 50 with 20 years of service, or a participant with 25 years of service may be retired by the Director regardless of age; and (c) retirement is mandatory at age 65 for personnel receiving compensation at the rate of GS-18 or above, and at age 60 for personnel receiving compensation at a rate less than GS-18, except that the Director may in the public interest, extend service up to 5 years.

Annuities to beneficiaries are provided exclusively from the CIARDS fund, which is maintained through; (a) contributions, currently at the rate of 7 percent, deducted from basic salaries of participants; (b) matching Agency (employer) contributions from the appropriation from which salaries are paid, based on the actual rate of contributions received from participants; (c) transfers from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund representing employee and matching employer contributions for service of Agency employees prior to the date of their participation in CIARDS, and contributions for service of integrated Agency employees included in CIARDS following termination of integrated status; (d) income on investments in U.S. Government securities; and (e) beginning in 1977 direct appropriations consistent with the provisions of Public Law 94-552.

*Central Intelligence Agency retirement and disability system*

Fiscal year:	<i>Millions</i>
1987 program.....	\$125.8
1988 request.....	134.7
Committee recommended change.....	0
Committee recommendation .....	134.7
1988 request.....	144.5
Committee recommended change.....	0
Committee recommendation .....	144.5

#### *Title IV—Enhanced counterintelligence and security capabilities*

Section 401 requires the Attorney General to report annually to the two Intelligence Committees any cases where Soviet nationals have been admitted to the United States over the objections of the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, for employment by, or assignment to, a diplomatic establishment or international organization in the United States.

Under section 813 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987, Congress adopted a policy which provided that the number of nationals of the Soviet Union who were admitted to the United States to serve as diplomatic or consular personnel should be substantially equivalent to the number of U.S. personnel serving in similar posts in the Soviet Union, unless the President determines that the admission of additional Soviet nationals would be in the best interests of the United States. This provision also required a report from the Secretary of State setting forth how these limitations would be maintained.

The Administration submitted the required report to the Congress, which proposed comparable ceilings of 320 personnel in the U.S. and in the Soviet Union, respectively. The Administration also announced in March, 1986, that it would require the Soviet Union to draw down the size of its staff at its mission to the United Nations from 275 persons to 170, to be accomplished by April, 1988. This timetable was considerably accelerated by the Daniloff affair which occurred in October, 1986, which led to the expulsion of 80 Soviet officials from the United States. Most of these officials were chosen to leave the U.S. based upon the FBI's intelligence-gathering activities here.

The Committee applauds these actions of the Administration which it considers fully consistent with the intent of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, cited above, and with the recommendations of the Committee made in its 1986 report "Meeting the Espionage Challenge."

The Committee is nonetheless concerned that these gains not be nullified by permitting the Soviet Union to replace its diplomatic and consular personnel, admitted under the approved ceilings, with known or suspected intelligence officers. Under section 1182(a)(27) of title 8, United States Code, the U.S. may exclude any aliens "who the consular officer or the Attorney General knows or has reason to believe seek to enter the United States solely, principally, or incidentally to engage in activities which would be prejudicial to the public interest, or endanger the welfare, safety, or security of the United States". The Committee believes this provision provides sufficient authority for the United States to deny admittance to known or suspected intelligence officers of the Soviet Union.

The purpose of section 401 is to provide both Intelligence Committees with information on cases where the FBI has objected to the admission of Soviet nationals to the United States based upon its assessment of such persons as known or suspected intelligence officers, and yet such persons have been admitted for other more compelling reasons.

Section 402 authorizes the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to pay from appropriated funds such additional compen-

sation as he considers necessary and appropriate to defray unusually high living expenses of FBI employees assigned to the New York Field Division.

The Committee has been advised for some time by the FBI that due to the high costs of living in the New York area, which exceed any other area of the country, the FBI has a difficult time recruiting agents for such assignments, which impose a considerable financial burden on agents and their families under the current pay structure. Agents who are assigned routinely attempt to leave at the earliest opportunity.

From the standpoint of the effectiveness of the FBI's Foreign Counterintelligence Program, the New York Field Division is critically important. To have employees assigned to this division against their wishes, at a considerable financial sacrifice, and to have them take the first opportunity to leave, for the same reason, inevitably undermines the effectiveness of the New York Office in terms of its counterintelligence responsibilities.

The Committee believes that the Director should have authority to pay, if he chooses to do so, additional compensation to this category of employees to deal with this situation. Obviously, the intent is to equalize the financial burden of living in the New York area with that of other areas of the country. It is not to provide employees of the New York Office with compensation which, in reality, exceeds that of other FBI employees.

#### *Title V—DOD intelligence personnel improvements*

Section 501 would add a new Section 1606 to Chapter 83 of Title 10, U.S.C., to allow the annual uniform appropriation authorization for civilian employees working for Defense Attache Offices who are required to wear uniforms to be increased to a maximum of \$400 per year, an amount comparable to that paid by the Department of State for similar employees working at United States Embassies. Currently, the maximum amount that can be expended under 5 U.S.C. 5901 is \$125 per year. The statutory authority for the Department of State to pay uniform allowances (see 22 U.S.C. 2669(e)) sets no maximum amount and the uniform allowance is controlled by regulations of the Department of State. The current amount authorized by Department of State regulations is \$360 annually (see 6 Foreign Affairs Manual 241.5).

The Defense Attache Offices currently employ foreign nationals as chauffeurs and uniforms are required to be worn. Similar positions at diplomatic posts for the Department of State afford foreign national employees a uniform allowance benefit which far exceeds the limits imposed on the Defense Attache Offices by Title 5. The State Department has the flexibility to increase the amount of the annual uniform allowance as required while Defense Attache Offices, have been bound by the statutory \$125 limit since 1966. This has become an increasing problem over the course of 20 years, particularly in times such as these when there is a substantial devaluation in the dollar.

Section 502 extends for the next two fiscal years the termination authority of the Secretary of Defense with respect to the employment of any civilian officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency. Subsection 501(a) of the Intelligence Authorization Act of

Fiscal Year 1985, Public Law 98-618, authorized the Secretary of Defense to exercise the termination authority described above whenever such an action was considered by him to be in the best interests of the United States and he determined that the termination procedures otherwise authorized by law could not be "invoked in a manner consistent with the national security". This authority was extended by paragraph 1604(e)(1) of Chapter 83 of Title 10, United States Code, for Fiscal Years 1985 and 1986. The regulations which were written to implement this authority were not finalized with the Department of Defense, however, until mid-1986. Section 502 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987, Public Law 99-569, granted a one-year extension of this authority through Fiscal Year 1987.

The Committee notes that this authority has not been exercised since it was originally provided. Nevertheless, it recognizes that situations might yet arise where such authority would be desirable. It therefore extends this authority for the next two fiscal years.

Section 503 would amend Section 912(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to grant tax treatment of allowances currently provided to certain Department of Defense personnel under Section 9(b)(1) of the National Security Agency Act of 1959 and Section 1605 of Title 10, United States Code comparable with that provided to Foreign Service employees for similar allowances.

The Intelligence Authorization Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-89) amended the National Security Agency Act of 1959 to allow the Director, National Security Agency, to provide allowances and benefits to certain civilian employees of the Department of Defense which were comparable to those provided to the State Department's Foreign Service and to employees of the Central Intelligence Agency. During the implementation of this statute, it was discovered that comparability of the allowances could not be achieved unless the tax exemption provided for Foreign Service and Central Intelligence Agency employees under Section 912(1) (A) and (B) of the Internal Revenue Code was also available for civilians employed and assigned to the National Security Agency.

The Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1984 (Public Law 98-215) amended title 10, U.S.C., to provide certain allowances and benefits to personnel assigned to Defense Attache Offices and Defense Intelligence Agency Liaison Offices overseas comparable to those provided by the Secretary of State to officers and employees of the Foreign Service under Chapter 9 of Title 1 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 and the provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 5924(4). Although Section 1605 was designed to establish equivalence between DAO/DIALO civilians and Foreign Service personnel with respect to many allowances and benefits, the actual value of the allowances and benefits to DAO and DIALO personnel is less than the value of the benefits to Foreign Service personnel, since the benefits granted under the Foreign Service Act and Title 5 are tax free by virtue of Section 912 of the Internal Revenue Code, while those granted under Section 1605 are not exempt from taxation.

The current inequity in taxation has been compounded by Subsection 1232(b) of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-514). This subsection provides that civilian employees of the Department of Defense stationed in Panama may exclude from gross income al-

allowances which are comparable to the allowances excludable under Section 912(a) of the Internal Revenue Code by employees of the Department of State stationed in Panama. Thus, it appears that any Defense Intelligence Agency or National Security Agency personnel stationed in Panama will, in future taxable years, be able to exclude from their gross income Foreign Service-equivalent allowances and benefits granted to them.

As a result of the provisions discussed above, there is now a situation where the tax laws treat identical allowances and benefits of NSA and DIA civilian personnel stationed overseas differently from those of Foreign Service personnel. Moreover, under the Tax Reform Act of 1986, the tax laws now treat identical allowances and benefits differently for NSA and DIA civilian personnel stationed overseas. The addition of the proposed Paragraphs (E) and (F) to Section 912(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 will provide equal tax treatment for identical allowances and benefits received by NSA, DIA and Foreign Service civilian personnel stationed around the world.

Section 504 would extend the authority of the Secretary of Defense to terminate civilian employees of intelligence elements within the military departments, comparable to the authority granted under section 502 with regard to civilian employees of DIA. Section 504 of the Fiscal Year 1987 Intelligence Authorization Act had provided such authority limited to Fiscal Year 1987. The Committee proposes to extend this authority for the next two fiscal years, pursuant to the same rationale cited for section 502, above.

Section 505 would add a new section 1607 to Chapter 83 of Title 10, United States Code, to exempt the Defense Intelligence Agency from any requirement to disclose information on its organization, functions, or personnel. It would authorize DIA to establish a system similar to that already afforded by statute to CIA and NSA. The purpose of the section is to reduce the potential for compromise of sensitive information through general administrative reporting systems. There are numerous and detailed Executive branch personnel reporting requirements to which CIA and NSA are not required to respond. DIA, lacking similar statutory authority, is required to respond. However, the information requested is for the purposes of compiling and publishing various unclassified personnel reports. The personnel data required to be submitted by DIA is classified and the Executive branch personnel who would receive the classified data are not cleared to handle it. Furthermore, the computer systems into which the personnel data from throughout the government are compiled are not set up to handle classified information. This has placed DIA in the difficult position of being technically required to submit personnel data which is classified but which the receiving Executive branch offices cannot securely handle or use. The proposed statutory exemption would resolve this situation and preclude similar situations in the future.

The second sentence of the proposed provision makes clear that this authority in no manner affects the responsibility of DIA to report information to the Congress, including its responsibilities under section 501 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 413) to make certain types of reports to the two Congressional Intelligence Committees.

The Committee also notes that this authority would be discretionary, not mandatory. To the extent that organizational data concerning DIA can be disclosed either to the public or in satisfaction of Executive branch administrative requirements without jeopardizing sensitive functions of that agency, the Committee believes it should do so. It intends that this authority be limited to those situations where it is needed to protect sensitive activities.

Section 506 amends Section 16 of the National Security Agency Act, enacted in the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 1987. That section authorizes the establishment of an undergraduate training program to facilitate recruitment of individuals for NSA, particularly minority high school students, needed to develop skills critical to the NSA mission. Section 506 amends that provision to allow the Secretary of Defense to offer advanced training in critical skills such as mathematics, engineering, computer science and foreign languages to sought-after college recruits and valued employees.

The success of the NSA mission depends upon the Agency's ability to recruit and retain the most talented available mathematicians, computer scientists, engineers, and linguists. The Agency's ability to recruit and retain these critical skilled individuals has been severely challenged and diminished in recent years because of the increase in the demand for these important skills in the private industrial community. This is due in part to special incentives that industry can offer to graduating college and university seniors but which the Agency is prohibited by law from offering to its recruits. Among those special incentives is sponsorship for advanced degrees. Section 506 would significantly aid the Agency in competing for the finest graduating talent available by permitting the Agency to match the offers of private firms to sponsor qualified individuals for advanced degrees.

#### *Title VI—General provisions*

Section 601 provides that the authorization of appropriations by this Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of any intelligence activity which is not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Section 602 provides advance authorization for such additional appropriations as may be necessary for increases in Federal employee compensation and benefits which are authorized by current or subsequently enacted law during Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989. Section 602 obviates the necessity for separate authorizations for such matters during the fiscal year.

#### COMMITTEE ACTION

On April 29, 1987, the Select Committee on Intelligence, approved the bill as amended and ordered it favorably reported.

#### EVALUATION OF REGULATORY IMPACT

In accordance with Paragraph 11(b) of Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee finds no regulatory impact will be incurred in implementing the provisions of this legislation.

**CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW**

**In the opinion of the Committee, it is necessary to dispense with the requirements of Section 12 of Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate in order to expedite the business of the Senate.**

○